UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,097	03/09/2005	Hans Smola	3712036.00598	3805
29157 K&L Gates LLl	7590 05/20/201 P	0	EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 1135	60600	SUTTON, DARRYL C		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/527,097	SMOLA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DARRYL C. SUTTON	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		·			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10 and 11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	•	-			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	/Darryl C Sutton/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to amend claims 10 and 11 to recite the limitation "about 0.025 mg to about 250 mg per kg body weight per day of each cysteine, vitamin C, vitamin E and grape seed extract." This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of claims 10 and 11, and further analysis would be required to determine whether the amounts of the specific compounds would have been been obvious. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the newly amended claims are patentable over the prior art references are most at this time due to non-entry of the proposed amendment.